Applicant(s) Application No. 09/911,829 TAMURA, TSUYOSHI Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 2674 Kevin M. Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kevin M. Nguyen. (4)_____. (2) David Brown. Date of Interview: 11 February 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-26. Identification of prior art discussed: Inamori, Shimamoto, Silverman et al, and Moughami et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _ (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Discussed with claim 1, Inamori does not teach "a first port Through which the still-image or a given commant is input." Agreed to withdraw the rejections. No sesponse from the applicant is needed. Upon for further reconsideration, another office action will be provided by the examiner.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature if required